

HIV PREVENTION PLANNING COUNCIL

Minutes

October 12, 2006

The next HPPC business meeting will be held on Thursday, **November 09, 2006**

3:00 - 6:00 PM

Quaker Meeting House, 65 Ninth St, San Francisco

Members Present:

Angie Baker
William Bland, Emeritus
Gayle Burns
Edward Byrom
Chadwick Campbell
Michael Discepola, Emeritus
Dee Hampton
Emalie Huriaux
Billie-Jean Kanios
Tom Kennedy
Weihaur Lau
Derrick Mapp
John Newmeyer
Tei Okamoto
Tracey Packer
Colin Partridge
Ken Pearce
Gail Sanabria
Chandra Sivakumar
Gwen Smith
Frank Strona
Abbie Zimmerman

* These members informed the Chair in advance of their absences.

Members Absent:

Thomas Ganger
Isela Gonzalez*
Janetta Johnson
Thomas Knoble*
Perry Rhodes III

HIV Prevention Section:

Dara Coan
Art Deguzman
Matt Jennings
Ju Lei Kelly
Betty Chan Lew
Eileen Loughran
Michael Paquette
Jenna Rapues
Lisa Reyes

Harder + Co.:

Aimee Crisostomo
Clare Nolan
David Weinman (Note-taker)

Guests:

Randy Allgaier
Michelle Bakken, TL Health
Bernie Berger
Elizabeth Davis, DPH
Jennifer Hecht, Stop AIDS Project
Carolyn Hunt, CAPS
Rakli Ilburn, Trans/UCSF
Carina Marquez, UCSF
Michael Petrelis
Eiko Sugano, Connect To Protect
Mark Utterback, Stop AIDS Project
Hank Wilson
Walter Recinos - DPH STD Prevention and Control

Process Evaluation Team:

Kathleen Roe

Welcome, Introductions, and Announcements

Gayle Burns, community Co-Chair, called the meeting to order at 3:04 PM. She welcomed attendees and explained that the facilitating duties rotate between the three Co-Chairs. She asked attendees to introduce themselves and make appropriate announcements.

- Chandra Shivakumar announced that Larkin Street Youth Services is starting to do Rapid Testing at the Healing Arts Center in the Bayview.
- Frank Strona made available new materials form the STD Prevention and Control Section.
 - ⇒ He added that agencies who do not get such materials delivered regularly should the STD Prevention and Control Section know and corrective action will be taken.

HIV PREVENTION PLANNING COUNCIL

Minutes

October 12, 2006

General Public Comment

Gayle Burns explained that because some of the public comment relates to the minutes from the September meeting it has been advanced in the agenda.

Eiko Sugano of UCSF's Connect to Protect

As follow up to last month's comments from Jacob Laurent she announced that they are still recruiting young men (16-24) who have sex with men (MSM) to form a planning body for the Mpowerment project.

- She added that they are also looking for young women who have sex with MSM.

Michael Petrelis, community activist

Michael Petrelis' comments included the following:

- The minutes from the 09/14/06 HPPC meeting did not reflect that he held up a poster distributed and paid for by the SF DPH, and that the ad that ran in Bay Area Reporter newspaper that was paid for by DPH. He would like the minutes to reflect this.
- This month he explained his concern that HIV prevention messages targeted toward gay men tend to be violent and have been for 25 years.
 - ⇒ He highlighted a recent advertisement from Los Angeles with the message, "*HIV, a Gay Disease*" and which accuses gay men of being silent about AIDS.
 - He noted that he is investigating who paid for this advertisement.
 - The intention, he asserted, is to provoke the gay community.
 - ⇒ He also highlighted an ad in 2000 from the SF AIDS Foundation with the message, "Who gives a F" reportedly paid for by the DPH.
 - ⇒ He then pointed out an anti-AIDS advertisement from Philadelphia that ran in August of this year in which a young black man in shown in a rifle's crosshairs.
 - While the ad has been withdrawn, he suggested that its intention was to provoke young black men into talking about HIV as if such an image was the only way to do so.
- He asked the HPPC to have a discussion about the ideas proposed by the late Eric Rofes who proposed a two-year moratorium from the violence found in prevention messages.
 - ⇒ 25 years of hostile HIV prevention campaigns, he suggested, is not healthy.

Member Response

- Dee Hampton asked what message would not be violent, or hostile.
 - ⇒ Michael Petrelis responded that there have been a few, and cited the SF STD Prevention and Control Section's recent campaigns regarding syphilis.

Review and Approval of Minutes of September 14th Meeting

Motion was made and seconded to approve the minutes from the 09/14/06 Council meeting. There were some changes:

- Announcements, Page Two, Bullet Three: should read, "*...if anyone needs transgender or gender variance sensitivity to please contact Tei Okamoto.*"
- Forensic AIDS Project, Page seven, second bullet: reads, "*MTF identification as a vulnerable population,*" should read, "*Normal population status separates the Transgender population and gives them little access to programming.*"

HIV PREVENTION PLANNING COUNCIL

Minutes

October 12, 2006

Motion was made and seconded to amend Michael Petrelis' Public Comment as described above.

The amendment was accepted without objection.

There was no further discussion. The minutes were approved as amended by show of hand.

HPPC Co-Chairs/Steering Committee Written Report

Gayle Burns confirmed that members had received the "*Co-Chairs Report October 12, 2006*," which had been sent to all members in advance of the meeting. The following topics were highlighted.

UCHAPS (Urban Coalition of HIV AIDS Prevention Services) Update

Gayle Burns reported that UCHAPS has formed a public policy committee.

- ⇒ She asked members to email her with ideas of how to explain prevention in a way that would be understandable to people unfamiliar with the concepts.
- ⇒ This will be used when representatives of UCHAPS visit Washington DC, or elsewhere.

Prevention with Positives (PWP) Conference Report

Gayle Burns then introduced Billie Jean Kanios and Michael Paquette to provide an overview of the recent PWP conference. The document entitled, "*California Provider Needs Assessment Report Summary October 2006*," was distributed, copies of which are available to absent members upon request. Their comments included the following.

- Conference brought both care and prevention service providers together.
- Work Groups were formed from various jurisdictions to promote local collaboration.
- Some of the Plenary and Break-out Sessions included:
 - ⇒ Raphael Diaz's presentation on the State of HIV/AIDS among MSM;
 - ⇒ A presentation on the California needs assessment report;
 - ⇒ Prevention approaches for HIV (+) MSM using meth;
 - ⇒ Navigating HIV co-morbidities, psychiatric illness and addiction; and
 - ⇒ Recognizing and responding to HIV related stigma.Members may contact Michael Paquette for copies of papers presented.
- Billie-Jean Kanios discussed the networking aspects, including the following.
 - ⇒ Both care and prevention providers discussed how to integrate services.
 - ⇒ The formation of a new Work Group with representatives from three local counties to continue the discussion on networking. Their first meeting will be in January 2007.
 - ⇒ Work being done in SF, such as the HPPC's Points of Integration Committee, is cutting edge and in the same direction as other UCHAPS jurisdictions.

The Attendance Policy

Tracey Packer drew members' attention to this portion of the written report. The proposed Working Group will look at the effectiveness of the HPPC's policy. It will also look at similar policies at other councils. The objective is for the group to meet twice.

Community Co-Chair Nomination

Tracey Packer also highlighted the importance of electing a community Co-Chair.

- ⇒ Gwen Smith nominated Gayle Burns for a second term, and Gayle Burns accepted.
- ⇒ No other nominations were received from the floor.
- ⇒ Nominations will be opened until 11/08/06.

HIV PREVENTION PLANNING COUNCIL

Minutes

October 12, 2006

Questions and Comments

- In response to William Bland's question Tracey Packer explained that the policy states that one has to be a member for six months before they can be nominated as Co-Chair.
- Ken Pearce noted that there has been a lot of crossover from CARE and HPPC Councils and suggested similar crossover in DPH staffs.

Presentation - San Francisco Leadership Initiative (SFLI) Committee

Gayle Burns introduced Clare Nolan to provide an overview of the two presentations on the agenda. Clare Nolan's comments included the following.

- Both committees have focused their work on innovation in prevention services.
- The SFLI Committee concentrated on a vision for HIV prevention and how the HPPC can continue to provide leadership in 2007 and beyond.
- The Strategies & Interventions (S&I) Committee concentrated on making an impact on HIV through structural intervention.
- While each Committee worked independently, it became apparent that there should be some consensus and coordination.
 - ⇒ The Co-Chairs from both committees discussed how to coordinate and agreed:
 - SFLI incorporated S&I's recommendations as part of its leadership vision; and
 - The S&I Committee took into consideration how to help local programs obtain the outcomes called for in the leadership vision.

Frank Strona and Bernie Berger conducted the presentation entitled, "*2007 and Beyond Our Vision of HIV Prevention in San Francisco*," and drew members' attention to the document entitled, "*Our Vision of HIV Prevention in San Francisco*," (the Vision Statement) copies of both were provided to all members in advance of the meeting. Their comments included the following.

Introductory Comments

- The SFLI focused on the prevention from a wide perspective to come up with its vision.
 - ⇒ This is a different approach than HPPC's usual focus on specifics.
- The vision being offered is not the day-to-day activities, or individual interventions, but the directions the Committee recommends SF pursue.

Slide 7 - Document Our Success

- It was highlighted that documenting success includes showing what has been effective as well as what could have been done differently to improve less effective services.

Slide 8 - Expand HIV Prevention in Correctional Facilities

- It was pointed out that the Sheriff has been aggressive in efforts to expand HIV prevention services, and such efforts should be acknowledged and supported.
- The proposal is to see what can be enhanced and where SF can go from here.

Slide 10 - An HIV/AIDS Prevention and Care Services Clinic for the Transgender Community

- This is envisioned as something like Magnet for the Transgender community.

Questions and Comments (list here by topic)

General Comments on the Motion

- William Bland asked how this vision links to the goal of reducing HIV transmission by 50%.

HIV PREVENTION PLANNING COUNCIL

Minutes

October 12, 2006

- ⇒ Frank Strona and Bernie Berger responded that the vision would help meet that goal, by providing an overall direction, a macro view, and overarching principles.
 - Specifics are discussed in the Plan in the S&I presentation.
- Ken Pearce expressed concern about the differences between the presentation and the Vision Statement document.
- John Newmeyer said he was surprised not to see epidemiological hot spots such as non-injecting MSM Meth users in the list of priorities rather than perinatal transmission.
 - ⇒ Frank Strona explained that the Committee intended such hot spots to be covered in the broader vision statements as well as in the overarching principles.
- In response to Colin Partridge's comment, Frank Strona pointed out that the Vision Statement document is more accurately worded than the presentation.
- Ed Byron asked if the Mayor's task force could include all of the items highlighted in the Vision Statement.
 - ⇒ Frank Strona explained that the Committee thought it better to address the issues step by step rather than all at once.
- Eileen Loughran suggested that some confusion may come from the fact that the presentation doesn't contain all of the detail provided in the Vision Statement.
- Gayle Burns suggested having the S&I presentation before the vote on SFLI's motion.
- Frank Strona highlighted that the proposal is a vision for the future, rather than a set agenda of things to do.
- David Weinman, community member of the SFLI Committee, noted that the objective of the Vision Statement was not to replace the priorities set in the Plan, but rather to look at where the Council can make leadership statements.
 - ⇒ The overarching principles in the Vision Statement are, he continued, the suggested direction of prevention and supplement the Plan.
 - ⇒ He added that the recommendations are a statement of SF's national leadership role.
- Michael Discepola suggested that looking at issues in a broader way should include section on mental health and substance abuse services; including harm reduction and services from assessment through treatment.
- In response to Tracey Packer noting that the HPPC has Public Comment at the beginning of each meeting, Hank Wilson, guest and former Council member, asserted that the SF's Sunshine Ordinance requires public comment before voting on each motion.
 - ⇒ He suggested HPPC check with the City Attorney on this matter.
 - ⇒ Gayle Burns explained that the City Attorney has been consulted and determined that the Council's procedure complies with SF Law.

Box 3 - HIV/AIDS Prevention and Care Services Clinic for the Transgender Community

- Gayle Burns asked if the Committee coordinated with the Transgender Advisory Council.
 - ⇒ Erik Dubon explained that he worked with the Committee and is a member of the Transgender Advisory Council.
- Ken Pearce asked if the idea has been discussed with the CARE Council.
 - ⇒ Frank Strona explained that it would premature to go to CARE Council prior to getting this Council's approval.
 - ⇒ Ken Pearce expressed concern that this would upset members of that body.

HIV PREVENTION PLANNING COUNCIL

Minutes

October 12, 2006

- Hank Wilson commented that such a clinic is worthy of a longer and more in-depth discussion. His observations included the following:
 - There are a number of agencies offering services to that population;
 - Consolidating services would mean either reducing services currently offered at existing programs, or adding services to the total available;
 - While consolidating services might eliminate duplicated administrative cost -- allowing those resources to be used for direct services;
 - It may also reduce the availability of services; and
 - An alternative might be to expand the competence of existing providers.
- ⇒ He supported the idea of collaborating with current service providers.
- Michael Petrelis expressed his delight that the recommendations in the Vision Statement do not mention gay men and appealed to members to accept the Vision Statement.
- ⇒ He also noted that prevention messages have not discussed sero-sorting or negotiated safer sex, which many credit for lowering HIV transmission rates in SF.

Box 4 - Perinatal Transmission Prevention Efforts

- Derrick Mapp noted that the suggestion for perinatal mandated HIV testing is controversial, particularly as there are no similar mandates for other populations.
 - ⇒ Frank Strona and Colin Partridge that offering testing is what is mandated -- not the tests themselves - but that at present there is no way to know if tests are offered other than at public hospitals.
 - ⇒ Gail Sanabria suggested rewording the text regarding perinatal HIV testing to ensure it is clear that offering tests is what is mandated.
- Angie Baker asked why perinatal services were prioritized when SF is already successful among that population and suggested highlighting it would be sufficient.
 - ⇒ Frank Strona said that proposal is to ensure all women are offered HIV tests.

Motion was made but not voted upon during this discussion to amend the wording of Box 4.

Box 5 - Expand Citywide HIV Education and Prevention Efforts

- Frank Strona said the Committee seeks approval of the vision, adding that the specific described in the bullet points in Box 5 are meant as examples.
- Tracey Packer highlighted that the bullet points in Box 5 help move SF toward its goal of 50% reduction in HIV transmission and hit all of the HPPC's BRP priorities
 - ⇒ She added that the overall vision can help frame the direction SF goes in 2007.
- Ken Pearce also expressed concerns with the bullet point 5 in Box 5 of the Vision Statement, as it could be interpreted as interfering with religious practice.
- As regards bullet point 4 regarding religious institutions, William Bland expressed his concerns, including the following:
 - ⇒ It indicates that homophobia is a larger issue than societal racism;
 - ⇒ It doesn't recognize faith-based programs in non-religious organizations;
 - ⇒ It is offensive to single out the African-American community as only being reachable through churches; and
 - ⇒ It seems to ignore the broad range of experience found among MSM and gay men of color in SF.

HIV PREVENTION PLANNING COUNCIL

Minutes

October 12, 2006

- Emalie Huriaux explained that each of the bullet points are intended as examples of what was found to be feasible and to have impact; but they are not intended as all inclusive.
⇒ The Council, she added, is not being asked to vote on the bullet points.

The Question was Called, and vote to close discussion was by role call.

Member	Vote
Angie Baker	No
Gayle Burns	No
Edward Byrom	Yes
Chadwick Campbell	No
Dee Hampton	Yes
Emalie Huriaux	Yes
Billie-Jean Kanios	Yes
Tom Kennedy	N/P
Weihaur Lau	Yes
Derrick Mapp	Abstain

Member	Vote
John Newmeyer	No
Tei Okamoto	No
Tracey Packer	No
Colin Partridge	No
Ken Pearce	No
Chandra Sivakumar	No
Gwen Smith	No
Frank Strona	Yes
Abbie Zimmerman	Yes

Result of the vote was 7 Yes, 10 No, 1 Abstain. The motion was defeated, discussion continued.

- Ken Pearce explained his no vote was in response to the non-collaborative process, although the concept of a free-standing Transgender clinic may be a good idea.
- Abbie Zimmerman explained her yes vote as being in favor of the vision, noting that the vote is not on the specifics.
⇒ She also said that S&I's presentation might add some clarification.
- Derrick Mapp noted that he abstained because the Council has only heard half of the discussion, the other half being the structure.
⇒ He suggested hearing the intervention piece before voting on the Vision.

The SFLI Committee agreed to withhold its motion until after the S&I Committee's presentation and disposition of the motions included therein.

Presentation - Strategies & Interventions Committee of the HPPC

Gayle Burns introduced Emalie Huriaux and Abbie Zimmerman to facilitate the presentation entitled, "*Thinking Upstream Taking Steps to Enhance HIV Prevention in SF*," copies of which were provided to members in advance of the meeting. Their additional comments included the following.

Introductory Comments

- Emalie Huriaux introduced members of the Committee in attendance and thanked those providing support from the HPS professional staff and Harder & Co. Additionally, she acknowledged that there was obvious tension in the room, and that it tension may sometimes be productive.
- She highlighted that what is being asked of the Council is support of the Committee's view of how strategies and interventions in SF can be enhanced.
⇒ The Committee is not seeking approval of specific interventions, or to reorient the HPPC's established priorities.

Slide 5 - Examples of Structural Interventions

- These are of structural interventions examples only.
- Structural interventions deal with societal, legal, and/or cultural changes rather than looking at how individuals might alter their behaviors.

Slide 7 - Large Group Reflection (On Small Group Exercise)

HIV PREVENTION PLANNING COUNCIL

Minutes

October 12, 2006

- Emalie Huriaux pointed out that this was the same exercise that the Committee did.
- Responses to the question of the river exercise serving as a metaphor for HIV prevention included the following.
 - ⇒ Billie-Jean Kanios suggested that upstream actions gets to the source of a problem, like fixing a broken bridge before people fall of, and drown as they float down the river.
 - ⇒ Frank Strona added that beyond fixing the bridge, a structural intervention could be a warning that the bridge is out.

S&I Committee Motion 1: " *The proposed definitions of structural change and structural interventions be approved for inclusion in the next SF HIV Prevention Plan.*"

The full text of those definitions was distributed to all members in advance of meeting in the document entitled, "Proposed Motions - October 12, 2006" (the "Proposed Motions Document").

Discussion and Comment on Motion 1

- Derrick Mapp asked for an example of physical structure interventions.
 - ⇒ Emalie Huriaux responded that a policy requiring condoms in bars would be a structural intervention by way of a change in a physical environment.
- Tei Okamoto asked for an example of an intervention that would alter financial inequality.
 - ⇒ Emalie Huriaux said that economic empowerment zones would be such an example.
- Derrick Mapp asked about evaluating the outcomes.
 - ⇒ Emalie Huriaux and Abbie Zimmerman explained that the Committee was not charged with proposing evaluations.
- William Bland said that structural interventions usually take time to accomplish, and that providers should be aware that these interventions might not fit into a one-year program definition, and suggested that be made clear in the definition.
 - ⇒ Emalie Huriaux and Tracey Packer explained that structural interventions can be of a variety of time lengths, and cited examples of some which are sort term.
 - ⇒ Tracey Packer added that the plan acknowledges that some things take more time to accomplish than others.
- Weihaur Lau pointed out that proposed structural interventions should be subject to feasibility and impact criteria; which would include how long the intervention might take.

The vote on this motion was by roll call.

Member	Vote
Angie Baker	Yes
Gayle Burns	Yes
Edward Byrom	Yes
Chadwick Campbell	Yes
Dee Hampton	Yes
Emalie Huriaux	Yes
Billie-Jean Kanios	Yes
Tom Kennedy	N/P
Weihaur Lau	Yes
Derrick Mapp	Yes

Member	Vote
John Newmeyer	Yes
Tei Okamoto	Yes
Tracey Packer	Yes
Colin Partridge	Yes
Ken Pearce	Yes
Chandra Sivakumar	Yes
Gwen Smith	Yes
Frank Strona	Yes
Abbie Zimmerman	Yes

The result of the vote was Yes 18, No 0. The motion passed unanimously.

S&I Committee Motion 2: " *DPH and HPPC actively promote structural intervention in SF.*"

HIV PREVENTION PLANNING COUNCIL

Minutes

October 12, 2006

The e recommended structural changes came out of the Committee's use of the Feasibility and Impact rating chart on a number of possible changes. It was also noted that:

- The bullet point regarding immigrant issues is reaction to undocumented immigrants fearing legal exposure, and to suggest culturally competent testing; and
- The bullet point regarding bringing religious institutions into HIV prevention is a suggestion to work with such organization, not to require them to participate.

Comments and Questions

- Gayle Burns suggested adding counseling to HIV screen and testing bullet.
 - ⇒ Abbie explained that the bullet points are only examples.
- Michael Discepola said that while he supports increased structural interventions, he has difficulty with the bullet list provided.
 - ⇒ Emalie Huriaux said that the Committee's recommendation is to expand the section of the Plan, and that these are examples of the sorts of structural changes that might be included in the next plan to help providers formulate new interventions.
- Michael Discepola said that he would support a motion that made it clear that the recommendations are examples only, not mandated to be part of the Prevention Plan.
- Ed Byrom expressed his concern that bullet point 3 on HIV screening and testing might read like an endorsement of the CDC's initiative on routine HIV testing in medical settings.
- Abbie Zimmerman said that she agrees with objections and would support taking out the bullet point recommendations.
 - ⇒ She added that she thinks having examples in the Prevention plan would be useful and would support continuing this work next year to ensure a more representative list.
- Dee Hampton explained that these examples were intended for people outside the Council, including those in other parts of the country.

Tracey Packer brought a Point of Order: The Committee is asking for a vote on "*DPH and HPPC actively promote structural interventions in San Francisco*" as the whole of the motion.

- ⇒ She pointed out that it is acceptable that this would leave some open questions.
- It was confirmed that the text in the Proposed Motions document beyond the highlighted / bolded wording (including the recommendations) was not intended to be part of the Motion.

The Chair accepted the Point of Order that the motion is confined to the wording as noted.

- Tracey Packer noted that the members' comments on this and the motion brought by SFLI highlights that there needs to be more consideration on specific structural interventions.
 - ⇒ She added that the Committees' intention was to open the discussion for the future rewriting of the Prevention Plan.
- Emalie Huriaux explained that the intention was that the recommendations be cited as examples of feasible interventions.
 - ⇒ She pointed out that the current Prevention Plan includes only a half page on structural interventions, and it is not at all clear that providers can apply for funding for, or would be supported if they proposed structural interventions.
- William Bland suggested that it would have been helpful if the Committee had come up with a process by which structural interventions could be implemented.
- Ken Pearce said that he didn't think there was any challenge to the first two bullets points on the Proposed Motions document and suggested they be included as part of this motion.

HIV PREVENTION PLANNING COUNCIL

Minutes

October 12, 2006

- ⇒ He also suggested the third bullet could read, "*HPPC will include examples of structural interventions in the next Prevention Plan.*"
- Emalie Huriaux suggested that the third bullet could be that HPPC will convene a process to create examples for the next Prevention Plan.

Motion as made and seconded to amend the Committee's motion to include the first two bullets:

- “ ▲ *DHP should promote the fundability of structural interventions*
- ▲ *HPPC should enhance the structural interventions section of the next HIV Prevention Plan by adding tools to support organizations in developing structural interventions*"

And to add an amended third bullet:

- “ ▲ *HPPC will convene a process to develop examples of structural changes for inclusion in the next HIV Prevention Plan.*"

The Question was Called, no objections were offered. The vote on the amendment was by show of hands. The motion was approved unanimously

The Question was Called on Motion 2, no objections were offered. The vote to approve the S&I Committee's Motion 2 as amended was by roll call.

Member	Vote
Angie Baker	Yes
Gayle Burns	Yes
Edward Byrom	Yes
Chadwick Campbell	Yes
Dee Hampton	Yes
Emalie Huriaux	Yes
Billie-Jean Kanios	Yes
Tom Kennedy	Yes
Weihaur Lau	Yes
Derrick Mapp	Yes

Member	Vote
John Newmeyer	N/P
Tei Okamoto	Yes
Tracey Packer	Yes
Colin Partridge	Yes
Ken Pearce	Yes
Chandra Sivakumar	Yes
Gwen Smith	Yes
Frank Strona	Yes
Abbie Zimmerman	Yes

The result of the was Yes 18, No 0. The motion was approved.

S&I Committee's Motion 3: "*The identified Plan improvements be approved for recommendation to the HPPC in developing the next SF HIV Prevention Plan.*"

Comment and Discussion

- Michael Discepolo said that he thought the Plan was already quite accessible with lots of examples and expressed concern of the Plan becoming unwieldy.
 - ⇒ Abbie Zimmerman said that the Committee reviewed the Plan section by section, with members reviewing areas of their own expertise and found that it could be more user-friendly and resources are often too academic
 - ⇒ Angie Baker raised a Point of Information asking that this motion was for ease of information access only, and was told yes.

The Question was Called no objections were raised. The vote on the S&I Committee's Motion 2 was by roll call.

Member	Vote
Angie Baker	Yes
Gayle Burns	Yes
Edward Byrom	Yes

Member	Vote
John Newmeyer	No
Tei Okamoto	No
Tracey Packer	Yes

HIV PREVENTION PLANNING COUNCIL

Minutes

October 12, 2006

Chadwick Campbell	Yes
Dee Hampton	Yes
Emalie Huriaux	Yes
Billie-Jean Kanios	Yes
Tom Kennedy	Yes
Weihaur Lau	Yes
Derrick Mapp	Yes

Colin Partridge	No
Ken Pearce	Yes
Chandra Sivakumar	Yes
Gwen Smith	Yes
Frank Strona	Yes
Abbie Zimmerman	Yes

The result of the vote was Yes 16, No 3. The motion was approved.

- Tracey Packer suggested the members voting No make note of their reasons on their evaluation form so as to help understand their objection(s).

SFLI Committee Presentation, continued ...

Members' attention was drawn again to the Vision Statement document presented by the SFLI Committee. Discussion continued, including the following (by topic).

Box 3 - "*Develop an HIV/AIDS Prevention and Care Services Clinic for the Transgender Community.*"

The Committee offered amended wording:

Title: "Explore a Free-Standing comprehensive HIV/AIDS clinic ..."

Vision: "We call on the City and County of San Francisco to work with the guidance of the Transgender Advisory Council..."

- Billie-Jean Kanios expressed her support of more services or increased capacity for this community, but questions consolidating services if it doesn't increase the overall capacity.
⇒ Frank Strona explained that the Committee chose the word, "*Explore*" to address this concern, adding that it doesn't rule in or out a comprehensive free-standing clinic.
- Ken Pearce suggested that the exploration of such a free-standing clinic should include all the providers working in that community, not just the Transgender Advisory Council.

Gayle Burns sought the sense of the Council as to whether it feels it will be ready to vote during this meeting. The Chair ruled that the sense of the Council was affirmative.

Motion was made and seconded to remove the vision portion of the amendment to Box 3. There were no objections to this amendment. The amendment was accepted and the SFLI's amended Box 3 will contain only the text of the title.

The Question was Called to vote on the SFLI Committee's Motion as amended. Objection was raised and discussion continued.

Box 4 - "*Assess Perinatal Transmission Prevention Efforts in San Francisco*"

The Committee offered amended wording:

"...we call on SF DPH to develop a system for assessing whether the mandated offering of HIV testing for all pregnant women..."

- Frank Strona noted that the Vision Statement had a typo so that it read as if the tests were mandated rather than the offering of HIV tests to pregnant women.

Tracey Packer raised a Point of Order: The SFLI Committee's amendments were based on the discussion earlier in this meeting in hopes that something could be approved. She pointed out that it is acceptable to decline (vote No) on the Committee's amended motion. If the Council

HIV PREVENTION PLANNING COUNCIL

Minutes

October 12, 2006

declines a motion, she emphasized, the proposal is sent back to the Committee for more discussion; it does not disappear.

Box 5 - "Expand Citywide HIV Education and Prevention Efforts"

- Frank Strona noted that as the noted that the recommended examples of interventions listed were stricken from the S&I Committee's motion, they should be deleted here.

The Committee offered an amendment to:

- ▲ Strike the final sentence prior to the bullet point list (sentence beginning, "Some examples ..."), and
- ▲ Strike the bullet point list.

There was no additional discussion. The Committee's amendments were accepted without objection.

The vote on accepting the SFLI Committee's Motion as amended was by roll call.

Member	Vote	Member	Vote
Angie Baker	Abstain	John Newmeyer	Yes
Gayle Burns	Yes	Tei Okamoto	Yes
Edward Byrom	Abstain	Tracey Packer	Yes
Chadwick Campbell	Yes	Colin Partridge	Yes
Dee Hampton	Yes	Ken Pearce	Yes
Emalie Huriaux	Yes	Chandra Sivakumar	Yes
Billie-Jean Kanios	Yes	Gwen Smith	Abstain
Tom Kennedy	Yes	Frank Strona	Yes
Weihaur Lau	Abstain	Abbie Zimmerman	Yes
Derrick Mapp	Abstain		

The result of the vote was Yes 14, No 0, Abstain 5. The motion was approved.

- Gayle Burns suggested that it would be helpful if the members who abstained to provide some explanation of their vote on their evaluation forms.

Summary, Evaluation, and Closure of Meeting

Gayle Burns reminded members to fill in their evaluation forms. The meeting adjourned at 5:58 PM.

Minutes prepared by David Weinman.

Minutes reviewed by Lisa Reyes and Tracey Packer.

**The next HPPC business meeting, will be Thursday, November 9, 2006
at the Quaker Meeting House, 65 Ninth Street, San Francisco.**